	Case 3:07-cr-02888-JAH Document 9 Filed 10/18/2007	Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Assistant United States Attorney California State Bar No. 134886 United States Attorney's Office Federal Office Building 880 Front Street, Room 6293 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 557-6763 Attorneys for Plaintiff	OCT 18 2007 SQUEERK, U.S. DOMESTICATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	
8		•	
9	9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	ŗ	
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA ON CR 2888-JA	
11	11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Magistrate Case	No. 07MJ2363	
12	11	NOF FACT AND JOINT	
13	13 v.) MOTION FOR		
14	14 RODOLFO ORTIZ-CASTELLANOS,) ORDER THER		
15	(Pre-Indictment	t Fast-Track Program)	
16	16		
17		IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between the plaintiff, UNITED STATES	
18		OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel, Karen P. Hewitt, United States Attorney, and	
19		Carla J. Bressler, Assistant United States Attorney, and defendant RODOLFO ORTIZ-	
20 21		CASTELLANOS, by and through and with the advice and consent of defense counsel, Shaffy	
22			
23		date and to participate in a full and complete inquiry by the Court into whether defendant knowingly,	
24		intelligently and voluntarily entered into it. Defendant agrees further to waive indictment and plead	
25		guilty to the pre-indictment information charging defendant with a non-mandatory minimum count	
26		of Transportation of Aliens and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §1324(a)(1)(A)(ii)	
27	and (v)(II).		
28	28 //	$^{\prime\prime}$	
	CJB:es:10/4/07		

25

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

26

//

27

28

28

- b. The United States may elicit hearsay testimony from arresting agents regarding any statements made by the material witness(es) provided in discovery, and such testimony shall be admitted as substantive evidence under Fed. R. Evid. 804(b)(3) as statements against interest of (an) unavailable witness(es); and,
- c. Understanding that under <u>Crawford v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 1354 (2004), "testimonial" hearsay statements are not admissible against a defendant unless defendant confronted and cross-examined the witness(es) who made the "testimonial" hearsay statements, defendant waives the right to confront and cross-examine the material witness(es) in this case.
- 6. By signing this stipulation and joint motion, defendant certifies that defendant has read it (or that it has been read to defendant in defendant's native language). Defendant certifies further that defendant has discussed the terms of this stipulation and joint motion with defense counsel and fully understands its meaning and effect.

Based on the foregoing, the parties jointly move the stipulation into evidence and for the immediate release and remand of the above-named material witness(es) to the Department of Homeland Security for return to their country of origin.

It is STIPULATED AND AGREED this date.

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney

Dated: 10/18/07.

ARLA J. BRESSLER Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: 10/17/07

SHAFFY MOEEL/
Defense Counsel for Ortiz-Castellanos

Dated: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{17/07}}$

RODOLFO ORTIZ-CASTELLANOS
Defendant

Stipulation of Fact and Joint Motion for Release of Material Witness(es) And Order Thereon in United States v. Rodolfo Ortiz-Castellanos Document 9

Filed 10/18/2007

Page 4 of 4

Case 3:07-cr-02888-JAH